

C^* -ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY GROUPS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS

MICHAEL T. JURY

ABSTRACT. We compute the C^* -algebra generated by a group of composition operators acting on certain reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces over the disk, where the symbols belong to a non-elementary Fuchsian group. We show that such a C^* -algebra contains the compact operators, and its quotient is isomorphic to the crossed product C^* -algebra determined by the action of the group on the boundary circle. In addition we show that the C^* -algebras obtained from composition operators acting on a natural family of Hilbert spaces are in fact isomorphic, and also determine the same *Ext*-class, which can be related to known extensions of the crossed product.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to begin a line of investigation suggested by recent work of Moorhouse et al. [12, 8, 7]: to describe, in as much detail as possible, the C^* -algebra generated by a set of composition operators acting on a Hilbert function space. In this paper we consider a class of examples which, while likely the simplest cases from the point of view of composition operators, nonetheless produces C^* -algebras which are of great interest both intrinsically and for applications. In fact, the C^* -algebras we obtain are objects of current interest among operator algebraists, with applications in the study of hyperbolic dynamics [5], noncommutative geometry [4], and even number theory [11].

Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. For an analytic function $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, the *composition operator with symbol* γ is the linear operator defined by

$$(C_\gamma f)(z) = f(\gamma(z))$$

In this paper, we will be concerned with the C^* -algebra

$$\mathcal{C}_\Gamma = C^*(\{C_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\})$$

Date: 26 Sep 2005.

*Research partially supported by NSF VIGRE grant DMS-9983601.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20H10, 46L55, 46L80, 47B33, 47L80.

where Γ is a discrete group of (analytic) automorphisms of $\mathbb{D}$ (i.e. a *Fuchsian group*). For reasons to be described shortly, we will further restrict ourselves to *non-elementary* Fuchsian groups (i.e. groups Γ for which the Γ -orbit of 0 in $\mathbb{D}$ accumulates at at least three points of the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$.) Our main theorem shows that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ contains the compact operators, and computes the quotient $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma/\mathcal{K}$:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a non-elementary Fuchsian group, and let $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ denote the C^* -algebra generated by the set of composition operators on H^2 with symbols in Γ . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}_\Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} C(\mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow 0$$

Here $C(\mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma$ is the crossed product C^* -algebra obtained from the action α of Γ on $C(\mathbb{T})$ given by

$$\alpha_\gamma(f)(z) = f(\gamma^{-1}(z))$$

(Since the action of Γ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is amenable, the full and reduced crossed products coincide; we will discuss this further shortly.) We will recall the relevant definitions and facts we require in the next section.

There is a similar result for the C^* -algebras

$$\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n = C^* \left(\{C_\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(A_n^2) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \right),$$

acting on the family of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces A_n^2 (defined below), namely there is an extension

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow 0$$

and we will show that each of these extensions represents the same element of the *Ext* group $\text{Ext}(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma, \mathcal{K})$, and we will also prove that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ are isomorphic as C^* -algebras. In fact we obtain a stronger isomorphism result, namely that *any* unital C^* -extension of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ which defines the same *Ext*-class as $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $x \in \text{Ext}(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$ denote the class of the extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Gamma \longrightarrow C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $e \in \text{Ext}(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$ is a unital extension represented by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow 0$$

and $e = x$, then $E \cong \mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ as C^ -algebras.*

Finally, we will compare the extension determined by $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ to two other recent constructions of extensions of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$. We show that the *Ext*-class of $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ coincides with the class of the Γ -equivariant Toeplitz extension of $C(\partial\mathbb{D})$ constructed by J. Lott [10], and differs from the

extension of crossed products by cocompact groups constructed by H. Emerson [5]. Finally we show that this extension in fact gives rise to a Γ -equivariant KK_1 -cycle for $C(\partial\mathbb{D})$ which also accords with the construction in [10].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will consider C^* -algebras generated by composition operators which act on a family of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces on the unit disk. Specifically we will consider the spaces of analytic functions A_n^2 , where A_n^2 is the space with reproducing kernel

$$k_n(z, w) = (1 - z\overline{w})^n$$

When $n = 1$ this space is the Hardy space H^2 , and its norm is given by

$$\|f\|^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$$

For $n \geq 2$, the norm on A_n^2 is equivalent to

$$\|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{n-2} dA(z)$$

though the norms are equal only for $n = 2$ (the Bergman space).

An analytic function $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ defines a *composition operator* C_γ on A_n^2 by

$$(C_\gamma f)(z) = f(\gamma(z))$$

In this paper, we will only consider cases where γ is a Möbius transformation; in these cases C_γ is easily seen to be bounded, by changing variables in the integrals defining the norms. An elementary calculation shows that if $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is analytic, then

$$C_\gamma^* k_w(z) = k_{\gamma(w)}(z)$$

where k is any of the reproducing kernels k_n .

We recall here the definitions of the full and reduced crossed product C^* -algebras; we refer to [13] for details. Let a group Γ act by homeomorphisms on a compact Hausdorff space X . This induces an action of Γ on the commutative C^* -algebra $C(X)$ via

$$(\gamma \cdot f)(x) = f(\gamma^{-1} \cdot x)$$

The *algebraic crossed product* $C(X) \rtimes_{alg} \Gamma$ consists of formal finite sums $f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f_\gamma [\gamma]$, where $f_\gamma \in C(X)$ and the $[\gamma]$ are formal symbols.

Multiplication is defined in $C(X) \times_{alg} \Gamma$ by

$$\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f_\gamma[\gamma] \right) \left(\sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} f'_{\gamma'}[\gamma'] \right) = \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma} \sum_{\gamma\gamma'=\delta} f_\gamma(\gamma \cdot f'_{\gamma'})[\delta]$$

For $f = \sum_{\gamma} f_\gamma[\gamma]$, define $f^* \in C(X) \times_{alg} \Gamma$ by

$$f^* = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\gamma \cdot \overline{f_{\gamma^{-1}}})[\gamma]$$

With this multiplication and involution, $C(X) \times_{alg} \Gamma$ becomes a $*$ -algebra, and we may construct a C^* -algebra by closing the algebraic crossed product with respect to a C^* -norm.

To obtain a C^* -norm, one constructs $*$ -representations of $C(X) \times_{alg} \Gamma$ on Hilbert space. To do this, we first fix a faithful representation π of $C(X)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We then construct a representation σ of the algebraic crossed product on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma) = \ell^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ as follows: define a representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $C(X)$ by its action on vectors $\xi \in \ell^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$

$$(\tilde{\pi}(f))(\xi)(\gamma) = \pi(f \circ \gamma)\xi(\gamma)$$

Represent Γ on $\ell^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ by left translation:

$$(U(\gamma))(\xi)(\eta) = \xi(\gamma^{-1}\eta)$$

The representation σ is then given by

$$\sigma \left(\sum f_\gamma[\gamma] \right) = \sum \tilde{\pi}(f_\gamma)U(\gamma)$$

The closure of $C(X) \times_{alg} \Gamma$ with respect the norm induced by this representation is called the *reduced crossed product* of Γ and $C(X)$, and is denoted $C(X) \times_r \Gamma$. The *full crossed product*, denote $C(X) \times \Gamma$, is obtained by taking the closure of the algebraic crossed product with respect to the maximal C^* -norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{\pi} \|\pi(f)\|$$

where the supremum is taken over *all* $*$ -representations π of $C(X) \times_{alg} \Gamma$ on Hilbert space. When Γ is discrete, $C(X) \times \Gamma$ contains a canonical subalgebra isomorphic to $C(X)$, and there is a natural surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : C(X) \times \Gamma \rightarrow C(X) \times_r \Gamma$.

The full crossed product is important because of its universality with respect to covariant representations. A *covariant representation* of the pair (Γ, X) consists of a faithful representation π of $C(X)$ on Hilbert space, together with a unitary representation u of Γ on the same space satisfying the covariance condition

$$u(\gamma)\pi(f)u(\gamma)^* = f \circ \gamma^{-1}$$

for all $f \in C(X)$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If $\mathcal{A}$ denotes the C*-algebra generated by the images of π and u , then there is a surjective *-homomorphism from $C(X) \times \Gamma$ to $\mathcal{A}$; equivalently, any C*-algebra generated by a covariant representation is isomorphic to a quotient of the full crossed product.

We now collect properties of group actions on topological spaces which we will require in what follows. Let a group Γ act by homeomorphisms on a locally compact Hausdorff space X ; The action of Γ on X is called *minimal* if the set $\{\gamma \cdot x | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is dense in X for each $x \in X$, and called *topologically free* if, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the set of points fixed by γ has empty interior.

Suppose now Γ is discrete and X is compact. Let $\text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ denote the set of finitely supported probability measures on Γ . We say Γ *acts amenably on X* if there exists a sequence of weak-* continuous maps $b_x^n : X \rightarrow \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in X} \|\gamma \cdot b_x^n - b_{\gamma \cdot x}^n\|_1 = 0$$

where Γ acts on the functions b_x^n via $(\gamma \cdot b_x^n)(z) = b_x^n(\gamma^{-1} \cdot z)$, and $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the l^1 -norm on Γ .

Theorem 2.1. [2] *Let Γ be a discrete group acting on a compact Hausdorff space X , and suppose that the action is topologically free. If $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal in $C(X) \times \Gamma$ such that $C(X) \cap \mathcal{J} = 0$, then $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_\lambda$, where $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ is the kernel of the projection of the full crossed product onto the reduced crossed product.*

Theorem 2.2. [16, 18] *If the Γ acts amenably on X , then the full and reduced crossed product C*-algebras coincide, and this crossed product is nuclear.*

In this paper we are concerned with the case $X = \mathbb{T}$ and $G = \Gamma$, a non-elementary Fuchsian group. The action of Γ on $\mathbb{T}$ is always amenable, so by Theorem 2.2 the full and reduced crossed products coincide, $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ is nuclear.

We also require some of the basic terminology concerning Fuchsian groups. Fix a base point $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. The *limit set* of Γ is the set accumulation points of the orbit $\{\gamma(z_0) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ on the boundary circle; it is closed (and does not depend on the choice of z_0). The limit set can be one of three types: it is either finite (in which case it consists of at most two points), a totally disconnected perfect set (hence uncountable), or all of the circle. In the latter case we say Γ is of the *first kind*, and of the *second kind* otherwise. If the limit set is finite, Γ is called *elementary*, and *non-elementary* otherwise.

Though we do not require it in this paper, it is worth recording that if Γ is of the first kind, then $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ is simple, nuclear, purely infinite, and belongs to the bootstrap category $\mathcal{N}$ (and is clearly separable and unital). Hence, it satisfies the hypotheses of the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem, and is classified up to isomorphism by its (unital) K -theory [9, 1].

Finally, we recall briefly the basic facts about extensions of C^* -algebras and the *Ext* functor. An exact sequence of C^* -algebras

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

is called an *extension* of A by B . (In this paper we consider only extensions for which $B = \mathcal{K}$, the C^* -algebra of compact operators on a separable Hilbert space.) Associated to an extension of A by $\mathcal{K}$ is a $*$ -homomorphism τ from A to the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$; this τ is called the *Busby map* associated to the extension. Conversely, given a map $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ there is a unique extension having Busby map τ ; we will thus speak of an extension and its Busby map interchangeably. Two extensions of A by $\mathcal{K}$ with Busby maps $\tau_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\tau_2 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ are *strongly unitarily equivalent* if there is a unitary $u : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \pi(u)\tau_1(a)\pi(u)^* = \tau_2(a)$$

for all $a \in A$ (here π denotes the quotient map from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ to $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}_1)$). We say τ_1 and τ_2 are *unitarily equivalent*, written $\tau_1 \sim_u \tau_2$, if (2.2) holds with u replaced by some v such that $\pi(v)$ is unitary. An extension τ is *trivial* if it lifts to a $*$ -homomorphism, that is there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\tau(a) = \pi(\rho(a))$ for all $a \in A$. Two extensions τ_1, τ_2 are *stably equivalent* if there exist trivial extensions σ_1, σ_2 such that $\tau_1 \oplus \sigma_1$ and $\tau_2 \oplus \sigma_2$ are strongly unitarily equivalent; stable equivalence is an equivalence relation. If A is separable and nuclear (which will always be the case in this paper) then the stable equivalence classes of extensions of A by $\mathcal{K}$ form an abelian group (where addition is given by direct sum of Busby maps) called $Ext(A, \mathcal{K})$, abbreviated $Ext(A)$. Finally, each element of $Ext(A)$ determines an *index homomorphism* $\partial : K_1(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ obtained by lifting a unitary in $M_n(A)$ representing the K_1 class to a Fredholm operator in $M_n(E)$ and taking its Fredholm index.

3. THE EXTENSIONS $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ AND $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$

3.1. The Hardy space. This section is devoted to the proof of our main theorem, in the case of the Hardy space:

Theorem 3.1. *Let Γ be a non-elementary Fuchsian group, and let $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ denote the C^* -algebra generated by the set of composition operators on H^2 with symbols in Γ . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}_\Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} C(\mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow 0$$

While the proof in the general case of A_n^2 follows similar lines, we prove the H^2 case first since it is technically simpler and illustrates the main ideas. The proof splits into three parts: first, we prove that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ contains the unilateral shift S and hence the compacts (Proposition 3.4). We then prove that the quotient $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma/\mathcal{K}$ is generated by a co-variant representation of the topological dynamical system $(C(\mathbb{T}), \Gamma)$. Finally we prove that the C^* -algebra generated by this representation is all of the crossed product $C(\mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma$.

We first require two computational lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let γ be an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ with $a = \gamma^{-1}(0)$ and let*

$$f(z) = \frac{1 - \bar{a}z}{(1 - |a|^2)^{1/2}}$$

Then

$$C_\gamma C_\gamma^* = M_f M_f^*$$

where M_f denotes the operator of multiplication by f .

Proof. It suffices to show

$$(3.2) \quad \langle C_\gamma C_\gamma^* k_w, k_z \rangle = \langle M_f M_f^* k_w, k_z \rangle$$

for all z, w in $\mathbb{D}$. Since $C_\gamma^* k_\lambda = k_{\gamma(\lambda)}$ and $M_f^* k_\lambda = \overline{f(\lambda)} k_\lambda$, (3.2) reduces to the well-known identity

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \gamma(z)\overline{\gamma(w)}} = \frac{(1 - \bar{a}z)(1 - a\bar{w})}{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - z\bar{w})}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\gamma(z)$ be an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ and let $S = M_z$. Then*

$$M_\gamma C_\gamma = C_\gamma S$$

Proof. For all $g \in H^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (C_\gamma S)(g)(z) &= \gamma(z)g(\gamma(z)) \\ &= (M_\gamma C_\gamma)(g)(z) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let Γ be a non-elementary Fuchsian group. Then $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ contains the unilateral shift S .*

Proof. Let Λ denote the limit set of Γ . Since Γ is non-elementary, there exist three distinct points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \Lambda$. For each λ_i there exists a sequence $\gamma_{n,i}$ such that $\gamma_{n,i}(0) \rightarrow \lambda_i$. Let $a_i^n = \gamma_{n,i}(0)$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$(1 - |a_i^n|^2)C_{\gamma_{n,i}}^{-1}C_{\gamma_{n,i}}^* = (1 - \overline{a_i^n}S)(1 - \overline{a_i^n}S)^*$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $a_i^n \rightarrow \lambda_i$ and the right-hand side converges to

$$1 - \overline{\lambda_i}S - \lambda_i S^* + SS^*$$

in $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$. Taking differences of these operators for different values of i , we see that $\Re[\mu_1 S], \Re[\mu_2 S] \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma$, with $\mu_1 = \overline{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$ and $\mu_2 = \overline{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}$. Note that since $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are distinct points on the circle, the complex numbers μ_1 and μ_2 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$ when identified with vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We now show there exist scalars a_1, a_2 such that

$$a_1 \Re[\mu_1 S] + a_2 \Re[\mu_2 S] = S$$

which proves the lemma. Such scalars must solve the linear system

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ \overline{\mu_1} & \overline{\mu_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Writing $\mu_1 = \alpha_1 + i\beta_1$, $\mu_2 = \alpha_2 + i\beta_2$, a short calculation shows that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ \overline{\mu_1} & \overline{\mu_2} \end{pmatrix} = -2i \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The latter determinant is nonzero since μ_1, μ_2 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$, and the system is solvable. $\square$

The above argument does not depend on the discreteness of Γ ; indeed it is a refinement of an argument due to J. Moorhouse [12] that the C^* -algebra generated by *all* Möbius transformations contains S . The argument is valid for any group which has an orbit with three accumulation points on $\mathbb{T}$; e.g. the conclusion holds for any dense subgroup of $PSU(1, 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 For an automorphism γ of $\mathbb{D}$ set

$$U_\gamma = (C_\gamma C_\gamma^*)^{-1/2} C_\gamma,$$

the unitary appearing in the polar decomposition of C_γ . By Lemma 3.2, $C_\gamma C_\gamma^* = T_f T_f^*$, so we may write

$$U_\gamma = T_{|f|^{-1}} C_\gamma + K$$

for some compact K . Now by Lemma 3.3, if p is any analytic polynomial,

$$\begin{aligned} U_\gamma T_p &= T_{|f|^{-1}} C_\gamma T_p + K' \\ &= T_{|f|^{-1}} T_{p \circ \gamma} C_\gamma + K' \\ &= T_{p \circ \gamma} T_{|f|^{-1}} C_\gamma + K'' \\ &= T_{p \circ \gamma} U_\gamma + K'' \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $T_{|f|^{-1}}$ and T_p commute modulo $\mathcal{K}$. Taking adjoints and sums shows that

$$(3.5) \quad U_\gamma T_q U_\gamma^* = T_{q \circ \gamma} + K$$

for any trigonometric polynomial q . We next show that, for Möbius transformations γ, η ,

$$U_\gamma U_\eta = U_{\eta \circ \gamma} + K$$

for some compact K . To see this, write

$$\gamma(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad \eta(z) = \frac{ez + f}{gz + h}$$

Then

$$U_\gamma = T_{|cz+d|^{-1}} C_\gamma + K_1, \quad U_\eta = T_{|gz+h|^{-1}} C_\eta + K_2.$$

Note that

$$U_{\eta \circ \gamma} = T_{|g(az+b)+h(cz+d)|^{-1}} C_{\eta \circ \gamma} + K_3.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_\gamma U_\eta &= T_{|cz+d|^{-1}} C_\gamma T_{|gz+h|^{-1}} C_\eta + K \\ &= T_{|cz+d|^{-1}} T_{|g\gamma(z)+h|^{-1}} C_\gamma C_\eta + K' \\ &= T_{|g(az+b)+h(cz+d)|^{-1}} C_{\eta \circ \gamma} + K' \\ &= U_{\eta \circ \gamma} + K'' \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ is equal to the C*-algebra generated by S and the unitaries U_γ . We have already shown that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ contains S and each U_γ , for the converse we recall that $C_\gamma = (C_\gamma C_\gamma^*)^{1/2} U_\gamma$, and since $C_\gamma C_\gamma^*$ lies in $C^*(S)$ by Lemma 3.2, we see that C_γ lies in the C*-algebra generated by S and U_γ . Letting π denote the quotient map $\pi : \mathcal{C}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Gamma / \mathcal{K}$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma / \mathcal{K}$ is generated as a C*-algebra by a copy of $C(\mathbb{T})$ and the unitaries $\pi(U_\gamma)$, and the map $\gamma \rightarrow \pi(U_{\gamma^{-1}})$ defines a unitary representation of Γ . Let $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C(\mathbb{T}))$ be given by

$$\alpha_\gamma(f)(z) = f(\gamma^{-1}(z))$$

Then by (3.5),

$$(3.6) \quad \pi(U_{\gamma^{-1}}) \pi(T_f) \pi(U_{\gamma^{-1}}^*) = \pi(T_{f \circ \gamma^{-1}}) = \pi(T_{\alpha_\gamma(f)})$$

for all trigonometric polynomials f , and hence for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ by continuity. Thus, $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma/\mathcal{K}$ is generated by $C(\mathbb{T})$ and a unitary representation of Γ satisfying the relation (3.6). Therefore there is a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : C(\mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Gamma/\mathcal{K}$ satisfying

$$\rho(f) = \pi(T_f), \quad \rho(u_\gamma) = \pi(U_{\gamma^{-1}})$$

for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \ker \rho$. The theorem will be proved once we show $\mathcal{J} = 0$. To do this, we use Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Indeed, it suffice to show that $C(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{J} = 0$: then $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}_\lambda$ by Theorem 2.1, and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda = 0$ by Theorem 2.2.

To see that $C(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{J} = 0$, choose $f \in C(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{J}$. Then $\pi(T_f) = \rho(f) = 0$, which means that T_f is compact. But then $f = 0$, since nonzero Toeplitz operators are non-compact.

□

3.2. Other Hilbert spaces. In this section we prove the analogue of Theorem 3.1 for composition operators acting on the reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces with kernel given by

$$k(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^n}$$

for integers $n \geq 2$.

We let A_n^2 denote the Hilbert function space on $\mathbb{D}$ with kernel $k(z, w) = (1 - z\bar{w})^{-n}$. For $n \geq 2$, this space consists of those analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ for which

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^2 (1 - |w|^2)^{n-2} dA(w)$$

is finite, and the square root of this quantity is a norm on A_n^2 equivalent to the norm determined by the reproducing kernel k (they are equal for $n = 2$). We fix $n \geq 2$ for the remainder of this section, and let T denote the operator of multiplication by z on A_n^2 . The operator T is a contractive weighted shift, and essentially normal. Moreover, if f is any bounded analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$, then multiplication by f is bounded on A_n^2 and is denoted M_f . If γ is a Möbius transformation, then C_γ is bounded on A_n^2 . We let $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ denote the C^* -algebra generated by the operators $\{C_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ acting on A_n^2 . In this section we prove:

Theorem 3.5. *The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ contains $C^*(T)$, and in particular the compact operators $\mathcal{K}(A_n^2)$. The map defined by $\pi(C_\gamma) = |\gamma'|^{n/2} u_\gamma$ extends to a $*$ -homomorphism from $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ onto $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$, and there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(A_n^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n \rightarrow C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow 0$$

We collect the following computations together in a single Lemma, the analogue for A_n^2 of the lemmas at the beginning of the previous section.

Lemma 3.6. *For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, with $a = \gamma^{-1}(0)$ and n fixed, let*

$$f(z) = \frac{(1 - \bar{a}z)^n}{(1 - |a|^2)^{n/2}}$$

Then $C_\gamma C_\gamma^ = M_f M_f^*$, and $M_\gamma C_\gamma = C_\gamma T$.*

Proof. As in the Hardy space, for the first equation it suffices to prove that

$$(3.7) \quad \langle C_\gamma C_\gamma^* k_w, k_z \rangle = \langle T_f T_f^* k_w, k_z \rangle$$

for all z, w in $\mathbb{D}$. This is the same as the identity

$$(3.8) \quad \left(\frac{1}{1 - \gamma(z)\overline{\gamma(w)}} \right)^n = \frac{(1 - \bar{a}z)^n (1 - a\bar{w})^n}{(1 - |a|^2)^n (1 - z\bar{w})^n}.$$

which is just (ref) raised to the power n . As before, the second equation follows immediately from the definitions. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 3.5 follows the same lines as that for the Hardy space, except that it requires more work to show that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ contains T . We first prove that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ is irreducible.

Proposition 3.7. *The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ is irreducible.*

Proof. We claim that the operator T^n lies in $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$; we first show how irreducibility follows from this and then prove the claim.

By [17], the only reducing subspaces of T^n are direct sums of subspaces of the form

$$X_k = \overline{\text{span}}\{z^{k+mn} | m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Thus, since $T^n \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$, these are the only possible reducing subspaces for $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$. Suppose, then, that X is a nontrivial direct sum of distinct subspaces X_k (i.e. $X \neq A_n^2$). Observe that, for each k , either X contains X_k as a summand, or X is orthogonal to X_k . In the latter case (which we assume holds for some k), the k^{th} Taylor coefficient of every function in X vanishes.

It is now easy to see that X cannot be reducing (or even invariant) for $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$. Indeed, if X does not contain X_0 as a summand, then every function $f \in X$ vanishes at the origin, but if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ does not fix the origin then $C_\gamma f \notin X$. On the other hand, if X contains the scalars, then consider the operator $F(\lambda) = (1 - \bar{\lambda}T)^n (1 - \lambda T^*)^n$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since T^* annihilates the scalars, we have $F(\lambda)1 = (1 - \bar{\lambda}z)^n = p(z)$.

But since $|\lambda| = 1$, the k^{th} Taylor coefficient of p does not vanish, so $p \notin X$. But since $F(\lambda)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$, it follows that X is not invariant for $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ and hence $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ is irreducible.

To prove that $T^n \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$, we argue along the lines of Proposition 3.4, though the situation is somewhat more complicated. Using the same reproducing kernel argument as in that Proposition, we see that the operators

(3.9)

$$F(\lambda) = (1 - \bar{\lambda}T)^n(1 - \lambda T^*)^n$$

(3.10)

$$= \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{(j+k)} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{k} \bar{\lambda}^k \lambda^j T^k T^{*j}$$

(3.11)

$$= \sum_{d=0}^n \binom{n}{d}^2 T^d T^{*d} + 2\Re \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^m \bar{\lambda}^m \sum_{m \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k-m} T^k T^{*k-m}$$

(3.12)

$$= \sum_{d=0}^n \binom{n}{d}^2 T^d T^{*d} + \sum_{m=1}^n \bar{\lambda}^m E_m + \lambda^m E_m^*$$

lie in $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ for all λ in the limit set Λ of Γ . Here we have adopted the notation

$$E_m = (-1)^m \sum_{m \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k-m} T^k T^{*k-m}$$

Note in particular that $E_n = (-1)^n T^n$. Forming differences as λ ranges over Λ shows that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ contains all operators of the form

$$G(\lambda, \mu) = F(\lambda) - F(\mu) = \sum_{m=1}^n (\bar{\lambda}^m - \bar{\mu}^m) E_m + (\lambda^m - \mu^m) E_m^*$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. We wish to obtain T^n as a linear combination of the $G(\lambda, \mu)$; since E_n is a scalar multiple of T^n it suffices to show that there exist $2n$ pairs $(\lambda_j, \mu_j) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda$ and $2n$ scalars α_j such that

$$E_n = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \alpha_j G(\lambda_j, \mu_j)$$

Let L be the $2n \times 2n$ matrix whose j^{th} column is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\lambda_j} - \overline{\mu_j} \\ \lambda_j - \mu_j \\ \overline{\lambda_j}^2 - \overline{\mu_j}^2 \\ \lambda_j^2 - \mu_j^2 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\lambda_j}^n - \overline{\mu_j}^n \\ \lambda_j^n - \mu_j^n \end{pmatrix}$$

We must therefore solve the linear system

$$L \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{2n-1} \\ \alpha_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for which it suffices to show that the matrix L is nonsingular for some choice of the λ_j and μ_j in Λ . To do this, we fix $2n + 1$ distinct points $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{2n}$ in Λ and set $\lambda_j = z_0$ for all j and $\mu_j = z_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2n$. The matrix L then becomes the matrix whose j^{th} column is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{z_0} - \overline{z_j} \\ z_0 - z_j \\ \overline{z_0}^2 - \overline{z_j}^2 \\ z_0^2 - z_j^2 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{z_0}^n - \overline{z_j}^n \\ z_0^n - z_j^n \end{pmatrix}$$

To prove that L is nonsingular, we prove that its rows are linearly independent. To this end, let c_j and d_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ be scalars such that for each $k = 1, \dots, 2n$,

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n c_j (z_0^j - z_k^j) + \sum_{j=1}^n d_j (\overline{z_0}^j - \overline{z_k}^j) = 0$$

To see that all of the c_j and d_j must be 0, consider the harmonic polynomial

$$P(z, \overline{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j (z_0^j - z^j) + \sum_{j=1}^n d_j (\overline{z_0}^j - \overline{z}^j)$$

By (3.13), P has $2n + 1$ distinct zeroes on the unit circle, namely the points $z_0, \dots, z_{2n}$. But this means that the rational function

$$Q(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(z_0^j - z^j) + \sum_{j=1}^n d_j(\overline{z_0^j} - \frac{1}{z^j})$$

also has $2n + 1$ zeroes on the circle. But since the degree of Q is at most $2n$, it follows that Q must be the zero polynomial, and hence all the c_j and d_j are 0.

Proposition 3.8. $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ contains T .

Proof. We have established that for each λ in the limit set, the operators

$$(1 - \overline{\lambda}T)^n(1 - \overline{\lambda}T)^{*n}$$

lie in $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$. Now, since T is essentially normal, the difference

$$(1 - \overline{\lambda}T)^n(1 - \overline{\lambda}T)^{*n} - [(1 - \overline{\lambda}T)(1 - \overline{\lambda}T)^*]^n$$

is compact. Given two positive operators which differ by a compact, their (unique) positive n^{th} roots also differ by a compact. The positive square root of the left-hand term above is thus equal to

$$(1 - \overline{\lambda}T)(1 - \overline{\lambda}T)^* + K$$

for some compact operator K (depending on λ), and lies in $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$. Forming linear combinations as in Proposition 3.4, we conclude that $T + K$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ for some compact K . Now, as $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ is irreducible and contains a nonzero compact operator (namely, the self-commutator of $T + K$), it contains all the compacts, and hence T . $\square$

We now prove the analogue of Theorem 3.1 for $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$:

Theorem 3.9. *Let Γ be a non-elementary Fuchsian group, and let $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ denote the C^* -algebra generated by the set of composition operators on A^2 with symbols in Γ . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n \xrightarrow{\pi} C(\mathbb{T}) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1; we define the unitary operators U_γ in the same way (using the polar decomposition of C_γ), and check that the map that sends γ to $U_{\gamma^{-1}}$ is a unitary representation of Γ on A_n^2 , modulo $\mathcal{K}$. The computation to check the covariance condition is essentially the same. As $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ is generated by the T and the unitaries U_γ , the quotient $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n/\mathcal{K}$ is generated by a copy of $C(\partial\mathbb{D})$ (since $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is the essential spectrum of T) and a representation of Γ which satisfy the covariance condition; the rest of the proof proceeds exactly as for H^2 , up until the final step. At the final step in that proof, we have a Toeplitz operator T_f which is compact; this implies that $f = 0$

in the H^2 case but not for the spaces A_n^2 . However the symbol of a compact Toeplitz operator on A_n^2 must vanish at the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$, so we may still conclude that $f = 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

4. K -HOMOLOGY OF $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$

4.1. Ext classes of $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$. In this section we prove that the extensions of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ determined by $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ determine the same element of the group $Ext(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$, and we prove that $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ are isomorphic as C^* -algebras. (In general neither of these statements implies the other.) We borrow several ideas from [Rørdam] concerning the classification of extensions by the associated six-term exact sequence in K -theory. The reader is referred to [14] for an introduction to K -theory and [3, Chapter 15] for the basic definitions and theorems concerning extensions of C^* -algebras and the Ext group.

Theorem 4.1. *The extensions of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ determined by $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ define the same element of the group $Ext(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$.*

We will show that the Busby maps of the extensions determined by $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^n$ are strongly unitarily equivalent. To do this we introduce an integral operator $V \in \mathcal{B}(A_n^2, A_{n-1}^2)$ and prove the following lemma, which describes the properties of V needed in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 4.2. *Consider the integral operator defined on analytic polynomials $f(w)$ by*

$$(Vf)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \bar{w}z)} (1 - |w|^2)^{-1/2} dA(w)$$

where dA is normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. Then:

- (1) For each $n \geq 0$, V extends to a bounded diagonal operator from A_{n+1}^2 to A_n^2 , and there exists a compact operator K_n such that $V + K_n$ is unitary.
- (2) If T_{n+1} and T_n denote multiplication by z on A_{n+1}^2 and A_n^2 respectively, then $VT_{n+1} - T_nV$ is compact.
- (3) If g is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, then the integral operator

$$(V_g f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w) \overline{g(w)}}{1 - \bar{w}z} (1 - |w|^2)^{-1/2} dA(w)$$

is bounded from A_{n+1}^2 to A_n^2 , and is a compact perturbation of VM_g^* .

Proof. If $f(w) = w^k$, then the integrand is absolutely convergent for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and we calculate

$$(4.1) \quad (Vf)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{w^k}{1 - \bar{w}z} (1 - |w|^2)^{-1/2} dA(w)$$

$$(4.2) \quad = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} w^k \bar{w}^j z^j (1 - |w|^2)^{-1/2} dA(w)$$

$$(4.3) \quad = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |w|^{2k} (1 - |w|^2)^{-1/2} dA(w) \right) z^k$$

$$(4.4) \quad = \alpha_k z^k$$

It is known that the sequence (α_k) defined by the above integral is asymptotically $(k+1)^{-1/2}$. Each space A_n^2 has an orthonormal basis of the form $\beta_k z^k$, where the sequence β_k is asymptotically $(k+1)^{(n-1)/2}$. It follows that V intertwines orthonormal bases for A_{n+1}^2 and A_n^2 modulo a compact diagonal operator, which establishes the first statement. Moreover, the second statement also follows, by observing that the operators T_{n+1} and T_n are weighted shifts with weight sequences asymptotic to 1.

To prove the last statement, first suppose $g(w) = w$, and let $\beta_k z^k$ be an orthonormal basis for A_{n+1}^2 . Then a direct computation shows that $V_{\bar{g}}$ is a weighted backward shift with weight sequence $\alpha_{j+1}\beta_j/\beta_{j+1}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$. Thus with respect to this basis, $V^*V_{\bar{z}}$ is a weighted shift with weight sequence $\alpha_{j+1}\beta_j/\alpha_j\beta_{j+1}$. Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{j+1}/\alpha_j = 1$, it follows that $V^*V_{\bar{z}}$ is a compact perturbation of M_z^* . By linearity, the lemma holds for polynomial g . Finally, if p_n is a sequence of polynomials such that M_{p_n} converges to M_g in the operator norm (which is equal to the supremum norm of the symbol), then M_{p_n} converges to M_g in the essential norm, and p_n converges to g uniformly, so that $V_{\bar{p_n}} \rightarrow V_{\bar{g}}$ in norm and the result follows.

Proof of Theorem 4.1

We will prove that the Busby maps of the extensions determined by $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^n$ are strongly unitarily equivalent. It suffices to prove, for each fixed n , the equivalence between $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^n$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{n+1}$. We first establish some notation: for a function g in the disk algebra, we let M_g denote the multiplication operator with symbol g acting on A_{n+1}^2 , and $\widetilde{M}_g$ the corresponding operator acting on A_n^2 . Similarly, for the composition operators C_{γ} and the unitaries U_{γ} on A_{n+1}^2 , a tilde denotes the corresponding operator on A_n^2 . Now, since each of the algebras $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^n, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{n+1}$ is generated by the operators M_z (resp. $\widetilde{M}_z$) and the unitaries U_{γ} (resp.

$\tilde{U}_\gamma$) on the respective Hilbert spaces, it suffices to produce a unitary U (or indeed a compact perturbation of a unitary) from A_{n+1}^2 to A_n^2 such that the operators $UM_z - \tilde{M}_z U$ and $UU_\gamma - \tilde{U}_\gamma U$ are compact for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In fact we will prove that the operator V of the previous lemma does the job.

To prove this, we will first calculate the operator $\tilde{C}_\gamma V C_{\gamma^{-1}}$. Written as an integral operator,

$$(\tilde{C}_\gamma V C_{\gamma^{-1}} f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\gamma^{-1}(w))}{1 - \overline{w}\gamma(z)} (1 - |w|^2)^{-1/2} dA(w)$$

Applying the change of variables $w \rightarrow \gamma(w)$ to this integral, we obtain

$$(\tilde{C}_\gamma V C_{\gamma^{-1}} f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{1 - \gamma(w)\gamma(z)} (1 - |\gamma(w)|^2)^{-1/2} |\gamma'(w)|^2 dA(w)$$

A little algebra shows that

$$1 - |\gamma(w)|^2 = (1 - |w|^2) |\gamma'(w)|$$

Furthermore, multiplying and dividing the identity (3.3) by $1 - \overline{a}w$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1 - \overline{\gamma(w)}\gamma(z)} = \frac{1 - \overline{a}z}{(1 - \overline{a}w)(1 - \overline{w}z)} |\gamma'(w)|^{-1}$$

Thus the integral may be transformed into

$$(\tilde{C}_\gamma V C_{\gamma^{-1}} f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{1 - \overline{w}z} \frac{1 - \overline{a}z}{1 - \overline{a}w} |\gamma'(w)|^{1/2} (1 - |w|^2)^{-1/2} dA(w)$$

Since γ' is analytic and non-vanishing in a neighborhood of the closed disk, there exists a function ψ in the disk algebra such that $|\psi|^2 = |\gamma'|^{1/2}$ (choose ψ to be a branch of $(\gamma')^{1/4}$). Thus we may rewrite this integral as

(4.5)

$$(\tilde{C}_\gamma V C_{\gamma^{-1}} f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{1 - \overline{w}z} \frac{1 - \overline{a}z}{1 - \overline{a}w} \psi(w) \overline{\psi(w)} (1 - |w|^2)^{-1/2} dA(w)$$

(4.6)

$$= M_{1-\overline{a}z} V_{\overline{\psi}} M_{(1-\overline{a}z)^{-1}\psi(z)}$$

Now, applying the lemma to $V_{\overline{\psi}}$ and using the fact that V intertwines the multiplier algebras of A_{n+1}^2 and A_n^2 modulo the compacts, we have

(4.7)

$$\tilde{C}_\gamma V C_{\gamma^{-1}} = M_{1-\overline{a}z} V_{\overline{\psi}} M_{(1-\overline{a}z)^{-1}\psi(z)}$$

(4.8)

$$= M_{1-\overline{a}z} V M_{\psi}^* M_{\psi} M_{(1-\overline{a}z)^{-1}} + K$$

(4.9)

$$= V M_{\psi}^* M_{\psi} + K$$

modulo the compacts. Multiplying on the right by $C_{\gamma^{-1}}$ and on the left by V^* we get

$$(4.10) \quad V^* \tilde{C}_\gamma V = M_\psi^* M_\psi C_\gamma + K$$

Since $\psi^2(z) = (1 - |a|^2)^{1/2} (1 - \bar{a}z)^{-1}$, the calculations in the proof of 3.1 show that

$$(C_\gamma C_\gamma^*)^{-1/2} = M_{\psi^{n+1}} M_{\psi^{n+1}}^* \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{C}_\gamma \tilde{C}_\gamma^*)^{-1/2} = \widetilde{M}_{\psi^n} \widetilde{M}_{\psi^n}^*$$

Using the fact that V intertwines the C^* -algebras generated by M_z and $\widetilde{M}_z$ modulo $\mathcal{K}$, and that these algebras are commutative modulo $\mathcal{K}$, we conclude after multiplying both sides of (4.10) on the left by $M_{\psi^n} M_{\psi^n}^*$ that

$$V^* \tilde{U}_\gamma V = V^* \widetilde{M}_{\psi^n} \widetilde{M}_{\psi^n}^* \tilde{C}_\gamma V + K = M_{\psi^{n+1}} M_{\psi^{n+1}}^* C_\gamma + K = U_\gamma + K$$

which completes the proof.

4.2. C^* -algebras isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$. In this section we prove that all unital extensions of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ which determine the same *Ext* class as $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ have isomorphic pull-backs, i.e. the middle term in the exact sequence is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$. To prove this, we apply Voiculescu's theorem to obtain a stable isomorphism and use an analysis of the K_0 -classes of finite projections in $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ to obtain a unital isomorphism.

We recall that if A is a unital, nuclear C^* -algebra, an extension of A by $\mathcal{K}$ is called *unital* if the Busby map $\tau : A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ is unital. A trivial extension is called *strongly unital* if τ lifts to a unital homomorphism $\rho : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. (Not all unital trivial extensions are strongly unital.) We begin with the following lemma, which is a special case of [15, Proposition 5.1].

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and let $\tau_0 = \pi \circ \alpha$, $\tau_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ be unital extensions, with τ_0 trivial. Then there is an isometry $v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\alpha(1_A) = vv^*$. Set $\alpha'(a) = v^* \alpha(a) v$ and set $\tau'_0 = \pi \circ \alpha'$, so that τ'_0 is a strongly unital trivial extension. Let*

$$e : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\psi} A \longrightarrow 0$$

$$e' : \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow E' \xrightarrow{\psi'} A \longrightarrow 0$$

be the extensions with Busby maps $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_0$, respectively, $\tau_1 \oplus \tau'_0$. Let s_1, s_2 be isometries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $s_1 s_1^ + s_2 s_2^* = 1$ and set $w = s_1 s_1^* + s_2 v s_2^*$, $p = ww^*$. Then $\beta(b) = w^* b w$ and $\eta(x) = w^* x w$ define an isomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & p\mathcal{K}p & \longrightarrow & pEp & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & E' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & A \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

Proof. [15]

Theorem 4.4. *Let $x \in \text{Ext}(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$ denote the class of the extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Gamma \longrightarrow C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $e \in \text{Ext}(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$ is a unital extension represented by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \longrightarrow 0$$

and $e = x$, then $E \cong \mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ as C^ -algebras.*

Proof. We first prove that the index homomorphism ∂ from $K_1(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ determined by the extension x is surjective (and hence so is the homomorphism determined by e). First, consider the function $f(z) = z$; this function is a unitary in $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ lying in the canonical subalgebra isomorphic to $C(\partial\mathbb{D})$. By construction, this unitary lifts to the unilateral shift S on H^2 , which is a Fredholm isometry of index -1 . Thus $\partial[z]_1 = -1$, and since -1 generates $\mathbb{Z}$, ∂ is surjective.

Since ∂ is surjective, the six-term exact sequence in K -theory associated to E

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(E) & \longrightarrow & K_1(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma) \\
(4.11) & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \partial \\
& & K_0(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma) & \longleftarrow & K_0(E) \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}$$

splits into the two sequences

$$(4.12) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K_1(E) \longrightarrow K_1(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(4.13) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K_0(E) \longrightarrow K_0(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma) \longrightarrow 0$$

Thus $K_0(E) \cong K_0(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$, and as the isomorphism is induced by the quotient map which annihilates the compacts, it follows that $[p]_0 = 0$ for any finite projection $p \in E$.

Now let τ_1 and τ_2 denote the Busby maps associated to $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and E respectively. Since $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and E determine the same element in $\text{Ext}(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$, there exist unital trivial extensions σ_1 and σ_2 such that $\tau_1 \oplus \sigma_1 \sim_u \tau_2 \oplus \sigma_2$. Let E_j denote the extension with Busby map $\tau_j \oplus \sigma_j$; we have $E_1 \cong E_2$. Using σ_1 and σ_2 , we may choose isometries v_1 and v_2 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

as in the lemma to obtain strongly unital extensions σ'_1 and σ'_2 . Let E'_j be the extension of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ with Busby map $\tau_j \oplus \sigma'_j$, $j = 1, 2$. By Voiculescu's theorem, $\tau_j \sim_u \tau_j \oplus \sigma'_j$, and it follows (since unitarily equivalent Busby maps determine isomorphic extensions) that $E'_1 \cong \mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ and $E'_2 \cong E$. Applying the lemma to E_1 and E_2 , we obtain projections $p_j \in E_j$ such that $p_j E_j p_j \cong E'_j$. We claim that $[p_j]_0 = [1_{E_j}]_0$; from this the theorem follows, since we then have $p_j E_j p_j \cong E_j$.

To prove the claim, observe that for the isometries v_j , the projections $1 - v_j v_j^*$ are finite, and hence so are the projections $1 - p_j$:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - p &= 1 - ww^* = 1 - [s_1 s_1^* + s_2 v v^* s_2^*] \\ &= s_2 s_2^* - s_2 v v^* s_2^* \\ &= s_2 [1 - v v^*] s_2^* \end{aligned}$$

which is finite. Thus $1 - p_j$ lies in E_j (since E_j contains the compacts) and $p_j \in E_j$ since E_j is unital. Finally, $[1 - p_j]_0 = 0$, and $[p_j]_0 = [1_{E_j}]_0$. $\square$

4.3. Emerson's construction. When Γ is cocompact, there is another extension of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ which was constructed by Emerson [5], motivated by work of Kaminker and Putnam [6]; we will show that the *Ext*-class of $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ differs from the *Ext*-class of this extension.

The extension is constructed as follows: since Γ is cocompact, we may identify $\mathbb{T}$ with the Gromov boundary $\partial\Gamma$. Let f be a continuous function on $\partial\Gamma$, extend it arbitrarily to Γ by the Tietze extension theorem, denote this extended function by $\tilde{f}$. Let $e_x, x \in \Gamma$ be the standard orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, and let $u_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ denote the unitary operator of left translation on Γ . Define a map $\tau : C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by

$$\tau(f)e_x = \tilde{f}(x)e_x$$

and

$$\tau(\gamma)e_x = u_\gamma e_x = e_{\gamma x},$$

it can be shown that these expressions are well defined modulo the compact operators and determine a $*$ -homomorphism from $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ to the Calkin algebra of $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, i.e. an extension of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ by the compacts. Let π denote the quotient map $\pi : \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\Gamma)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$, and consider the pull-back C^* -algebra E :

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}} & C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{Q}(\ell^2(\Gamma)) \end{array}$$

We will show this extension is distinct from $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ by showing that it induces a different homomorphism from $K_1(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, consider the class $[z]_1$ of the unitary $f(z) = z$ in $K_1(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$; the extension belonging to $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ sends this class to -1 . On the other hand, for the extension τ we claim the function z lifts to a unitary in E ; it follows that $\partial([z]_1) = 0$ in this case. To verify the claim, note that z lifts to a diagonal operator on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, and if (x_n) is a subsequence in Γ tending to the boundary point $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, then $\tilde{f}(x_n) \rightarrow \tilde{f}(\lambda) = \lambda$. We may thus choose all the $\tilde{f}(x)$ nonzero, and by dividing $\tilde{f}(x)$ by its modulus, we may assume they are all unimodular. Thus z lifts to the unitary $\text{diag}(\tilde{f}(x)) \oplus z$ in E .

4.4. Lott's construction. In this section we relate the extension τ given by $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ to an extension recently constructed by J. Lott [10]. In particular it will follow that (up to tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$) τ lies in the range of the Baum-Douglas-Taylor boundary map

$$\partial : K^0(C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \times \Gamma, C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma) \rightarrow K^1(C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma)$$

We first describe a construction of the extension σ_+ of [10]. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the Hilbert space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ with finite Dirichlet integral

$$D(f) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z)$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z) = |f(0)|^2 + D(f)$$

If f is represented in $\mathbb{D}$ by the Taylor series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then this norm is given by

$$\|f\|^2 = |a_0|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2$$

The operator of multiplication by z on $\mathcal{D}$, denoted M_z , is a weighted shift with weight sequence asymptotic to 1, and hence is unitarily equivalent to a compact perturbation of the unilateral shift on H^2 . It follows that there is a *-homomorphism $\rho : C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{D})$ with $\rho(z) = \pi(M_z)$. Now, by changing variables one checks that if γ is a Möbius transformation, $D(f \circ \gamma) = D(f)$. Let $\mathcal{D}_0$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of those functions which vanish at the origin. It then follows from the definition of the norm in $\mathcal{D}$ that the operators

$$u_\gamma(f)(z) = f(\gamma(z)) - f(\gamma(0))$$

are unitary on $\mathcal{D}_0$, and form a unitary representation of Γ . We extend this representation to all of $\mathcal{D}$ by letting Γ act trivially on the scalars. Moreover, it is simple to verify (by noting that u_γ is a compact perturbation of the composition operator C_γ) that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$u_\gamma M_z u_\gamma^* = M_{\gamma(z)}$$

modulo compact operators. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we conclude that the pair $(\rho(f), \pi(u_\gamma))$ determines an injective $*$ -homomorphism from $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ to $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{D})$, which is unitarily equivalent to the Busby map σ_+ of [10].

We may now state the main theorem of this subsection:

Theorem 4.5. *The Busby maps τ and σ_+ are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. We first show that the Busby map $\tau : \mathcal{C}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(H^2)$ lifts to a completely positive map $\eta : C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H^2)$. Define a unitary representation of Γ on $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ by

$$U(\gamma^{-1}) = M_{|\gamma'|^{1/2}} C_\gamma$$

Together with the usual representation of $C(\partial\mathbb{D})$ as multiplication operators on L^2 , we obtain a covariant representation of $(\Gamma, \partial\mathbb{D})$ which in turn determines a representation $\rho : C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2)$. Letting P denote the Riesz projection $P : L^2 \rightarrow H^2$, we next claim that the commutator $[\rho(a), P]$ is compact for all $a \in C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$, and so the pair (ρ, P) is an abstract Toeplitz extension of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$ by $\mathcal{K}$. To see this, it suffices to prove the compactness of the commutators

$$[\pi(f), P] \quad \text{and} \quad [U(\gamma), P].$$

Now, it is well known that $[M_f, P]$ is compact, and as $U(\gamma)$ has the form $M_g C_{\gamma^{-1}}$ it suffices to check that $[C_\gamma, P]$ is compact. It is easily checked that this latter commutator is rank one. Indeed, the range of P is invariant for C_γ , so $[C_\gamma, P] = P C_\gamma P^\perp$. If we expand $h \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ in a Fourier series

$$h \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{h}(n) e^{in\theta}$$

then a short calculation shows that

$$P C_\gamma P^\perp h \sim \left(\sum_{n < 0} \hat{h}(n) \overline{\gamma(0)}^{|n|} \right) \cdot 1$$

so $P C_\gamma P^\perp$ is rank one.

Thus, we have established that the completely positive map $\eta : C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H^2)$ given by

$$\eta(a) = P \rho(a) P$$

is a homomorphism modulo compacts, and the calculations in the proof of Theorem 3.1 show that the map

$$\tau(a) = \pi(P\rho(a)P)$$

coincides with the Busby map associated to $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$. Thus, η is a completely positive lifting of τ as claimed.

With the lifting η in hand, to prove the unitary equivalence of τ and σ_+ it will therefore suffice to exhibit an operator $V : H^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that V is a compact perturbation of a unitary, and such that

$$\pi(V\eta(a)V^*) = \sigma_+(a)$$

for all $a \in C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$. Since the crossed product is generated by the function $f(z) = z$ and the formal symbols $[\gamma]$, it suffices to establish the above equality on these generators. In fact, we may use the operator V of Lemma 4.2. Since the map $z^n \rightarrow n^{-1/2}z^n$ is essentially unitary from H^2 to $\mathcal{D}$, the proofs of statements (1) and (2) of Lemma 4.2 are still valid. The conclusion of statement (3) holds provided the hypothesis on g is strengthened, by requiring that g be analytic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Moreover, the arguments of Theorem 4.1 still apply, since the proof applies statement (3) of Lemma 4.2 only to the function ψ , which is indeed analytic across the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$. Thus, the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4.1 prove that V intertwines (modulo compacts) multiplication by z on H^2 and $\mathcal{H}$, and also intertwines (modulo compacts) C_γ acting on $\mathcal{H}$ with U_γ on H^2 . Since u_γ is a compact perturbation of C_γ on $\mathcal{H}$, it follows that V intertwines U_γ and u_γ .

Now, the Busby map σ_+ takes the function z to the image of M_z in the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$. Since $\eta(z) = M_z \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$, the intertwining property of V (modulo compacts) may be written as

$$\pi(V\eta(z)V^*) = \sigma_+(z)$$

Similarly, since η applied to the formal symbol $[\gamma]$ (viewed as a generator of $C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \times \Gamma$) is U_γ , the intertwining property for V with respect to U_γ and u_γ reads

$$\pi(V\eta([\gamma])V^*) = \sigma_+([\gamma])$$

Thus the equivalence of τ and σ_+ on generators is established, which proves the theorem. $\square$

We conclude by observing that the covariant representation on L^2 described in the proof of the previous theorem gives rise to an equivariant KK_1 -cycle for $C(\partial\mathbb{D})$. Indeed, such a cycle consists of a triple (U, π, F) where (U, π) is a covariant representation on a Hilbert space

$\mathcal{H}$ and F is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}$ such that the operators

$$F^2 - I, F - F^*, [U(\gamma), F], \text{ and } [\pi(f), F]$$

are compact for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $f \in C(\partial\mathbb{D})$. The computations in the previous proof show that the triple $(U, \pi, 2P - I)$ satisfies all of these conditions, and essentially the same unitary equivalence argument shows that this cycle represents (up to a scalar multiple) the class of [10, Section 9.1].

REFERENCES

- [1] Claire Anantharaman-Delaroche. C^* -algèbres de Cuntz-Krieger et groupes fuchsien. In *Operator theory, operator algebras and related topics (Timișoara, 1996)*, pages 17–35. Theta Found., Bucharest, 1997.
- [2] R. J. Archbold and J. S. Spielberg. Topologically free actions and ideals in discrete C^* -dynamical systems. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2), 37(1):119–124, 1994.
- [3] Bruce Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [4] A. Connes. Cyclic cohomology and the transverse fundamental class of a foliation. In *Geometric methods in operator algebras (Kyoto, 1983)*, volume 123 of *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.*, pages 52–144. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [5] Heath Emerson. *An example of non-commutative Poincaré duality arising from hyperbolic dynamics*. Ph. D. Thesis. Pennsylvania State University, 2001.
- [6] Jerome Kaminker and Ian Putnam. K -theoretic duality of shifts of finite type. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 187(3):509–522, 1997.
- [7] Thomas Kriete, Barbara MacCluer, and Jennifer Moorhouse. Toeplitz-composition c^* -algebras. *Preprint*, 2005.
- [8] Thomas Kriete and Jennifer Moorhouse. Linear relations in the calkin algebra for composition operators. *Preprint*, 2004.
- [9] Marcelo Laca and Jack Spielberg. Purely infinite C^* -algebras from boundary actions of discrete groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 480:125–139, 1996.
- [10] John Lott. Limit sets as examples in noncommutative geometry. *Preprint*, 2004.
- [11] Yuri I. Manin and Matilde Marcolli. Continued fractions, modular symbols, and noncommutative geometry. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 8(3):475–521, 2002.
- [12] Jennifer Moorhouse. *C^* -algebraic and component structure of composition operators*. Ph. D. Thesis. University of Virginia, 2003.
- [13] Gert K. Pedersen. *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, volume 14 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs*. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], London, 1979.
- [14] M. Rørdam, F. Larsen, and N. Laustsen. *An introduction to K-theory for C^* -algebras*, volume 49 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [15] Mikael Rørdam. Classification of extensions of certain C^* -algebras by their six term exact sequences in K -theory. *Math. Ann.*, 308(1):93–117, 1997.

- [16] Jean-Louis Tu. La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables. *K-Theory*, 17(3):215–264, 1999.
- [17] Kehe Zhu. Reducing subspaces for a class of multiplication operators. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 62(2):553–568, 2000.
- [18] Robert J. Zimmer. *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, volume 81 of *Mono-graphs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE,
FLORIDA 32603

E-mail address: mjury@math.ufl.edu